GNSO Council Adobe Connect Chat Transcript 17 December 2015

Glen de Saint Gery: (12/17/2015 18:36) Welcome to the GNSO Council meeting on 17 December 2015

Glen de Saint Gery: (18:48) Please join the phone line in case there are issues with Adobe Connect

Nathalie Peregrine: (18:51) Please all remember to mute your phones and AC mics as we are hearing typing.

James Bladel: (19:03) Audio lag, sorry.

Rubens Kuhl - RySG: (19:04) Paul was on the call but it's not anymore. His connection might have issues.

Amr Elsadr: (19:06) Hi. I seem to have missed the role call. Dialling in now.

Stefania Milan: (19:06) Hi, sorry for the delay, had conenctions problems but it seems to be fine now

Glen de Saint Gery: (19:07) Welcome Mary!

Paul McGrady - IPC: (19:09) Hi all. I had trouble with the Adobe room, but switched browsers and it worked. Sorry to miss the roll call (I was on the line

Amr Elsadr: (19:11) Hi. Only just managed to dial in now.

Mary Wong: (19:12) Hello everyone, same as Amr; sorry to be late

Stephanie Perrin: (19:13) While I appreciate the need to get through the agenda quickly, I am just serving notice that we need to spend some time sorting out the (may I say chaotic) procedure that seems to be taking place with respect to GNSO endorsements for the CCT review.

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: (19:14) Hi all!

Marika Konings: (19:18) @James - correct.

Marika Konings: (19:18) We'll update that in the next version.

Nathalie Peregrine: (19:19) Donna, we see you connected on the audio bridge

Nathalie Peregrine: (19:20) Do you need a dial out

Donna Austin, Neustar: (19:20) James, I'm on the call and trying to get off mute. seems I have audio issues

Nathalie Peregrine: (19:20) We can dial out to you Donna, if you would rather?

Stephanie Perrin: (19:20) I did not raise my hand on issues, in the hope that my comment in the chat would suffice.

Donna Austin, Neustar: (19:24) All, I'm back on audio, but I'm not sure anyone can hear me.

Nathalie Peregrine: (19:24) @ Donna, I think you were talking at the same time as Marika, when you tried earlier.

Donna Austin, Neustar: (19:25) ok

Mary Wong: (19:28) @James, absolutely

Mary Wong: (19:29) Thanks, Phil - understood. Yes.

Mary Wong: (19:29) Note that any WG is always open to new members at any time.

Mary Wong: (19:30) @James, noted.

Rubens Kuhl - RySG: (19:31) Amr, your voice is getting chopped a bit. We can understand the general meaning of what you are saying, though.

James Bladel: (19:32) thanks.

Amr Elsadr: (19:33) Yes..., correct James. Thanks.

Mary Wong: (19:35) To add to Steve's point, yes, staff has been coordinating on both reports to minimize both confusion and risk of duplication.

Mary Wong: (19:36) @Paul, yes

Mary Wong: (19:37) And @James, yes too

Paul McGrady - IPC: (19:37) Thanks!

Mary Wong: (19:37) :)

Philip Corwin: (19:37) I just want to make sure that the RPM work is done by just one WG--not by two separate ones.

Rubens Kuhl - RySG: (19:38) I want to suggest Donna Austin to be such a liasion.

Mary Wong: (19:41) Note that the voting threshold is >1/3 of both Houses or >2/3 of one.

Rubens Kuhl - RySG: (19:41) Can we do both at once ?

Marika Konings: (19:42) @Rubens - as per my previous comments, it is probably better to conduct them as separate votes so that the results can be clearly marked for each of the items.

Stefania Milan: (19:42) cant you hear me?

Stefania Milan: (19:42) i said yes

Amr Elsadr: (19:43) We can't hear you.

Stephanie Perrin: (19:43) no we cannot hear

Stefania Milan: (19:43) weird, mic seems active

Amr Elsadr: (19:43) How do we know it's actually Stefania who is typing and indicating a "yes" vote? :)

Nathalie Peregrine: (19:43) @ Stefania, please activate your mic by clicking on the telephone icon at the top of te AC room toolbar, follow instructions from there.

Nathalie Peregrine: (19:44) The telephone icon will become a microphone icon once you have finished, and your mic will be activated, please remember to mute and unmute by clicking on your mic once you have activated it

Philip Corwin: (19:52) To clarify, I don't see this Charter as encompassing legacy rights protection mechanisms -- especially UDRP.

Philip Corwin: (19:52) Just the new gTLD RPMs.

Susan Kawaguchi: (19:52) I think we need to work on the charter beore we approve

James Bladel: (19:54) Susan: Perhaps we could modify the language of this charter to clarify that, and encourage close coordinatoin wit hthe other PDP.

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: (19:54) Re the types of RPMs? Is it like handling it in a workstream 1 and 2?

Susan Kawaguchi: (19:56) I think we need full coordination between the two PDP's

Steve Chan: (19:58) Of note, here is the language from the Final Issue Report regarding second-level RPMs:

Steve Chan: (19:58) It is critical to note that there is a request for a Preliminary Issue Report on the "current state of all rights protection mechanisms (RPMs) for both existing and new gTLDs, including but not limited to the UDRP and the URS…" , which was published for public comment in October 2015 and may potentially lead to a PDP on that subject. As such, a potential PDP-WG on New gTLD Subsequent Procedures should consider how efforts should be coordinated to avoid duplication or creation of conflicting work. One possible outcome, as an example, could be that the PDP-WG on RPMs could determine that a particular element of their scope is better addressed by the PDP-WG on New gTLDs, or perhaps the effort is addressed in tandem by the two WGs, although presumably only one WG would be expected to provide policy recommendations on the subject.Also of note, in support of the potential PDP-WG on RPMs, ICANN staff has performed RPM review activities in support of the CCT review, which may also help identify areas for polic

Steve Chan: (19:59) This is in the Rationale for Policy Development section

Philip Corwin: (19:59) yes -- but the review of all RPMs set out as an option dealing separately with new gTLD RPMs and the UDRP, and we have not yet addressed how to structure that PDP(s).

Marika Konings: (20:00) @Phil - that is why staff is suggesting that the Council following its decision on the RPM motion would provide guidance to the new gTLD PDP WG if there are any changes to the remit / scope in relation to RPMs.

Philip Corwin: (20:00) I am leaning toward deferral of the Chatre until january, rather than trying for a quick fix on the fly.

Marika Konings: (20:01) as Steve notes, the charter and Final Issue Report already refer to this likely linkage that will need to be considered

Philip Corwin: (20:01) Once this WG Charter before us is approved, unless it is subsequently chagned, this WG has broad authority to address RPMs.

Philip Corwin: (20:03) I think we can deal with the separation/coordination issue conceptually before we address the mega RPM review question.

Philip Corwin: (20:03) But we ought to give it sufficient consideration.

Amr Elsadr: (20:04) Would the purpose of a deferral on this be to amend the charter without having to create a charter drafting team?

Susan Kawaguchi: (20:05) I would volunteer to be on a charter drafting team

Mary Wong: (20:05) @Susan, if it is deferred, and is basically a single matter of clarifying the RPM scope, perhaps that can be done via email on the Council list without the need for a ful DT?

Susan Kawaguchi: (20:06) I would be fine with that.

Donna Austin, Neustar: (20:06) @Mary, I would hope that would be the case.

Philip Corwin: (20:06) I support Susan's request.

Paul McGrady - IPC: (20:07) Ha!

Amr Elsadr: (20:07) @Mary: I was thinking the same thing. We could handle this on-list without having to wait for another council meeting.

Amr Elsadr: (20:08) If nobody's seconded this motion, I'd be glad to.

Stephanie Perrin: (20:10) I think that deferral is a great idea, fully support. We are overwhelmed.

Amr Elsadr: (20:11) Well..., we'll have to handle this along with the CCWG 3rd draft report next month.

Volker Greimann / RrSG: (20:14) i second

Mary Wong: (20:14) @Amr, unless the Council wishes otherwise, the idea is to use the 14 Jan meeting for the CCWG topic and the 21 Jan meeting for "regular" Council business. Hope this helps.

Stephanie Perrin: (20:15) Please do James

Amr Elsadr: (20:16) @Mary: Yes..., that was my understanding. Still both in the same month. :)

Mary Wong: (20:16) True! :)

Susan Kawaguchi: (20:18) The BC is endorsing Cecilia Lee Smith

Rubens Kuhl - RySG: (20:19) From Valerie Tan, the names are Jeff Neuman, Carlos Gutierrez, Jonathan Zuck, YJ Park. This is the CPH NCA endorsements, not my own.

Philip Corwin: (20:19) To follow on Susan, that is our sole endorsement and we strongly urge her inclusion in the final GNSO list for both her expertise and the sake of gender diversity.

Stephanie Perrin: (20:20) I beliieve that the NCSG is ready to nominate now, we have Jeremy Malcolm, Carlos Gutierrez, and Kinfe Micheal Yilma Desta. Should we be permitted four, as we note on the list has happened, we would add Stacie Walsh.

Paul McGrady - IPC: (20:21) Can we be reminded of the timing on this? What is the deadline to get these endorsements to the decision makers?

Paul McGrady - IPC: (20:22) Ugh

Amr Elsadr: (20:22) @Paul: Don't we need to vote on this now?

Keith Drazek (RySG): (20:23) My sense here is we'll need to submit the entire list with a call for diversity, including from SGs and Cs.

Amr Elsadr: (20:23) Thanks James.

Amr Elsadr: (20:23) @Keith: +1

Volker Greimann / RrSG: (20:23) seconded

Marika Konings: (20:25) https://community.icann.org/x/FoRIAw

Stephanie Perrin: (20:26) Is it permissible to follow up with Jeremy to see what happened? I know many folks have been at WSIS...

Amr Elsadr: (20:27) @Stephanie: +1. If following up with Jeremy will assist the CEO/GAC Chair in making their decision, we could follow up with him and make sure he submits the required info.

Susan Kawaguchi: (20:28) Since the deadline is today how much time do you propose to allow?

Julf Helsingius: (20:29) We should flag to the selectors which candidates got multiple endorsements from us

Marika Konings: (20:29) For the record, the request was sent shortly after the November meeting with a deadline of 7 December.

Paul McGrady - IPC: (20:29) Thanks James!

Amr Elsadr: (20:29) @Julf: +1

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: (20:32) I thought NPOc is part of the NCSG

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: (20:33) NPOC wasn't consulted?

Keith Drazek (RySG): (20:33) Why didn't the constituencies contribute to the SG deliberations?

Philip Corwin: (20:34) We were confused as well within the CSG yet all constituencies came up with candidates.

Rubens Kuhl - RySG: (20:34) Note that only people in this list were eligible anyways: https://www.icann.org/resources/reviews/aoc/cct/applications

James Bladel: (20:34) Ed - How do you propse we address the NPOC absence?

Amr Elsadr: (20:34) @Keith: They did, and more than one NPOC member submitted applications, but we were operating under the impression that our number of endorsements were limited to those NCSG would endorse. As opposed to a longer list of endorsements from NCUC+NPOC.

Edward Morris: (20:34) That's fine David.

Stephanie Perrin: (20:34) I think we need to draw a clear line between the confusion, and the process. WE certainly have discussed within NCSG.

Keith Drazek (RySG): (20:35) Thanks Amr, that's helpful context.

Edward Morris: (20:36) @James. I think David's proposal solves the problem.

James Bladel: (20:36) Ok. I had some difficulty hearing David, can he reiterate in the chat?

Keith Drazek (RySG): (20:38) I support David's suggestion.

Rubens Kuhl - RySG: (20:40) Today in UTC ends in 4 houras 20 minutes.

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: (20:42) @Susan: +1

Julf Helsingius: (20:42) If it is a GNSO endorsemement, then NomCom appointees should have a vote

Rubens Kuhl - RySG: (20:43) Actually, NCAs provided 2 unique endorsements. All others were also submitted by others.

Mary Wong: (20:44) @Julf, @Rubens - it sounds like Susan was not saying NCAs should not vote on the endorsements, only that the process did not seem to contemlplate indiividual Councilors (whether NCA or others) submitting their own list of nominations.

David Cake: (20:45) we have a number of confusions in the process. Another issue is endorsement of candidates (such as Carlos) who are seeking to be appointed as experts rather than GNSO representatives.

Philip Corwin: (20:46) @Stephanie--wouldn't such a "confusion" note further dilute the effect of the GNSO's endorsements?

David Cake: (20:46) At this point I think there are two many issues to resolve.

Julf Helsingius: (20:46) Mary: should have said "have a voice" instead of "vote"

Edward Morris: (20:47) I've just been disconnected. Please call out +44 7472 687 857

Edward Morris: (20:48) The local number is busy!

Nathalie Peregrine: (20:48) re dialing Ed

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: (20:48) I've offered to replace Waudo Siganga by Cecilia Lee Smith (both are from BC)

Edward Morris: (20:49) Thanks Natalie,

Keith Drazek (RySG): (20:49) I support.

Edward Morris: (20:49) Support

Nathalie Peregrine: (20:49) Pleasure, Edward!

Stephanie Perrin: (20:51) In case anyone did not hear my echoing intervention, my point is that given the confusion of SG endorsement vs constituency endorsement, this list is not strictly accurate from a political perspective as representative. Similarly, given the fact that we have not considered the candidates from a merit and experience perspective, except at the somewhat flawed group level, we have not done a qualitative review. Therefore while we have a great slate of candidates, and we have a strong interest in having a large number selected from our slate, the work of balance across the stated range of qualifications has not been done in detail.

Philip Corwin: (20:54) On the subject CCWG, my main questions relate to how Council plans to proceed in discussing the Proposal and drafting a Resolution, and the meeting timetable for that work.

Marika Konings: (20:54) All, we have just realised that the first motion you voted on concerning the initiation of the new gTLD subsequent procedures PDP did not have the friendly amendment from Donna captured on the screen which added to the last resolved '… after the adoption of the PDP-WG Charter to fulfill the requirements of this PDP.' Our understanding is that this language is what the Council intended to vote on and as such we are planning to post the motion, with the friendly amendment as originally proposed as the motion adopted, unless there are any concerns or objections. We apologise for the confusion.

Philip Corwin: (20:55) @Wolf--just noted your comment above. BC decided on just endorsing Cecila because Waudo was on your list. Dropping him would sacrifice geo-diversity.

Paul McGrady - IPC: (20:56) Very frustrating that there is no time for discussion.

Marika Konings: (20:56) @Phil, Wolf-Ulrich, Waudo is on the list that was adopted in the end.

Philip Corwin: (20:56) Thanks for confirming that, Marika

James Bladel: (20:57) Agree Paul. WE'll extend the call a few minutes, but it wno't be enough.

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: (20:57) ok Phil and Marika, thanks!

Paul McGrady - IPC: (20:58) James, I am fully booked today. I can stay for a little while, but not very long. I think much of this content we are hearing was available in the webinars.

James Bladel: (20:59) same. i'm texting my next meeting as well. :)

Keith Drazek (RySG): (20:59) In the interest of time, I'll report in chat that the RySG supports the CCWG 3rd proposal, but has identified some concerns around ST-18 and around implementation/operation of the community mechanism (including thresholds of support on budget approval/rejection, escalation timelines). So, the RySG is generally supportive but will highlight some concerns in our comments.

Stephanie Perrin: (21:00) For the record, with respect to the CCT review, Jeremy Malcolm has indicated that he sent his response in to the November request, and is resending now.

Philip Corwin: (21:00) BC is preparing comment. Appears that we generally support but we will also have some specific concerns

Volker Greimann / RrSG: (21:03) icann is enforcing its contracts

Volker Greimann / RrSG: (21:03) thez make not enforce them the waz zou interpret them Paul, but that is because zou are misinterpreting the salient clauses <=(

Volker Greimann / RrSG: (21:04) ;-]

Volker Greimann / RrSG: (21:04) z=Y

Rubens Kuhl - RySG: (21:05) Volker, I can has cheezburger. Or ICANN has cheezburger. Or something. ;-)

Volker Greimann / RrSG: (21:07) the dangers of switching back and forth between german and english keyboard settings: Z and Y constantly are in the wrong spots

Olivier Crepin-Leblond: (21:09) Wolf-Ulrich mentioned Rec 11? Isn't this Rec 18?

Keith Drazek (RySG): (21:09) We need to determine if there are any "deal-killers" that would prevent the GNSO from supporting a propoal that is the result of 20+ months of cross-community consensus-building and compromise. We're all going to identify tweaks and/or amendments we'd like to see, but we need to focus on any cross-GNSO obstacles to approval.

Olivier Crepin-Leblond: (21:09) oh now - sorry Rec 11 on Stress Test 18. Apologies

Philip Corwin: (21:10) I have to depart. Let's please continue an email discussion of our internal procedures for discussing the Propoal and drafting a GNSO Resolution. Thanks

James Bladel: (21:11) Thanks, Phil. We are working on a highlevel process now, and wil submit to the list asasp

Paul McGrady - IPC: (21:16) 85% isn't an interesting statistic since 1 sentence can change the entire landscape. There is no way that these two reports can be considered substantially similar with member to sole designator, 2/3 GAC vote, absence of ICANN in the US, etc. There is no way that the short deadline could be considered adequate.

James Bladel: (21:18) I'm hearing that (1) this work is front and center throughout the GNSO, and (2) there will not be formal GNSO positions indicated by 21 DEC, but (3) there may be comments from other SGs &Cs

Paul McGrady - IPC: (21:19) Thanks Thomas!!

Paul McGrady - IPC: (21:20) I have to drop. Thanks everyone.

Keith Drazek (RySG): (21:21) Thanks all

Edward Morris: (21:21) Thanks James.

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: (21:21) Thanks James! Good start!

Amr Elsadr: (21:21) Thanks all. Bye.

Susan Kawaguchi: (21:21) thank you James!

David Cake: (21:21) Thank you James

David Olive: (21:21) Thanks James and All

Olivier Crepin-Leblond: (21:21) Congratulations James on your first Council Chairing Call

Amr Elsadr: (21:21) Well done James.

Julf Helsingius: (21:21) Thank you James, and everybody!

Olivier Crepin-Leblond: (21:21) and Happy Holidays everyone

Rubens Kuhl - RySG: (21:21) Thanks all!

Julf Helsingius: (21:21) Happy Holidays!